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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of $\mathrm{Cr}_{23} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ is cubic, space group $\mathrm{Fm} 3 m, a_{0}=10 \cdot 650,4 \mathrm{Cr}$ in (a) (000), 8 Cr in (c) ( ${ }_{4}^{214} 14$ ), 48 Cr in $(h)(0 x x), x=0 \cdot 1699,32 \mathrm{Cr}$ in $(f)(x x x), x=0 \cdot 3809,24 \mathrm{C}$ in $(e)(x 00), x=0 \cdot 2765$, based on neutron diffraction data from a powder sample.


The crystal structure of chromium carbide, $\mathrm{Cr}_{23} \mathrm{C}_{6}$, has been described as cubic, space group $\mathrm{Fm} 3 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{Cr}$ (I) in (a) (000), 8 Cr (II) in (c) ( $\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4}$ ), 48 Cr (III) in (h) $(0 x x), x=$ $0 \cdot 165,32 \mathrm{Cr}$ (IV) in $(f)(x x x), x=0.385,24 \mathrm{C}$ in (e) $(x 00)$, $x=0.275$ (Westgren, 1933) on the basis of X-ray single crystal and powder diffraction data. We have confirmed this structure and determined the atom positions more precisely by a neutron diffraction study of a powder sample.

Chromium carbide was prepared by arc-melting the highpurity elements under argon. The arc-cast material was ground to -250 mesh and used without further heat

[^0]Table 1. Summary of experimental data

| hkl | d | $I$ (obs) | $I$ (calc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | $6 \cdot 26$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| 200 | $5 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 0$ |
| 220 | $3 \cdot 76$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| 311 | 3.21 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 222 | 3.07 | $9 \cdot 4$ | 8.5 |
| 400 | $2 \cdot 67$ | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| 331 | $2 \cdot 44$ | 0.5 | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| 420 | 2.36 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 422 | 2.17 | 0.7 | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}511 \\ 333\end{array}\right\}$ | 2.00 | 28.7 | 25.9 |
| 440 | 1.88 | 22.4 | 23.2 |
| 531 | 1.80 | 11.9 | $12 \cdot 3$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}600 \\ 442\end{array}\right\}$ | 1.78 | 9.5 | $9 \cdot 7$ |
| 620 | 1.68 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| 533 | 1.62 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| 622 | 1.61 | $19 \cdot 5$ | 19.3 |
| 444 | 1.54 | 1.3 | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}711 \\ 551\end{array}\right\}$ | 1.490 | $4 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 1$ |
| 640 | 1.476 | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 4$ |
| 642 | 1.421 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 1.0 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}731 \\ 853\end{array}\right\}$ | 1.386 | $4 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 4$ |
| 800 | 1.332 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 5$ |
| 733 | 1.302 | $4 \cdot 5$ | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}820 \\ 644\end{array}\right\}$ | 1.287 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 822 660 | 1.256 | 8.5 | $8 \cdot 2$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}751 \\ 555\end{array}\right\}$ | 1.230 | $5 \cdot 4$ | 5.5 |
| 662 | 1.222 | $6 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| 840 | 1-191 | $0 \cdot 4$ | 0.0 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}911 \\ 753\end{array}\right\}$ | 1-167 | 7.9 | 7.8 |

treatment. The X-ray and neutron diffraction patterns show $\mathrm{Cr}_{23} \mathrm{C}_{6}, a_{0}=10 \cdot 650 \pm 0.002 \AA$ and a small chromium impurity phase, $a_{0}=2.885 \pm 0.001 \AA$. Neutron diffraction data were obtained at the Los Alamos West Omega Reactor, scanning from $2 \theta=5$ to $70^{\prime}$ at an interval of $0.05^{\circ}$, at a wavelength of $1.3366 \AA$. The sample was contained in a parallel-sided holder made from ( $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Zr}$ ) null-matrix alloy and was placed in the symmetrical transmission position. An absorption parameter of $\mu t=0 \cdot 168$ was measured.

The observed intensities were determined by least-squares analysis of the diffraction data, and were fitted to the trial structure by least-squares solution of the equation (Bacon, 1962)

$$
I=K \frac{\exp (-\mu t \sec \theta)}{\sin ^{2} 2 \theta} \cdot \exp \left(-2 B \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{\lambda^{2}} \cdots\right) j F^{2}
$$

with $K=0.0017 \pm 0.0001, B=0.41 \pm 0.15, x_{\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})}=0.1699 \pm$ $0.0004, x_{\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})}=0.3809 \pm 0.0005, \quad x_{\mathrm{c}}=0.2765 \pm 0.0005, R=$ 0.056 , where $R=\sum w\left|I_{o}-I_{c}\right| / \sum w I_{o}$. Neutron scattering lengths were $0.352 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~cm}$ for chromium and $0.665 \times$ $10^{-12} \mathrm{~cm}$ for carbon. The observed and calculated neutron diffraction intensities are compared in Table 1.

The $\mathrm{Cr}_{23} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ structure was originally described in terms of NaCl -type packing of cubo-octahedra [12Cr(III) with a $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{I})$ in the center] and cubes $8 \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})$ (Westgren, 1933). The cubo-octahedra are regular, with a radius of $2.56 \AA$ and $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ distances of $2.56 \AA$ on the surface, while the $\mathrm{Cr}_{8}$ cubes have an edge length of $2.54 \AA$. Each cubo-octahedron is bonded to its eight neighbor cubo-octahedra through $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ bonds of $2.41 \AA$. Each cube is bonded to its eight neighbor cubes through the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ in the center of each octant, again with $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ bonds of $2.41 \AA$, with the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ acting as a bridging atom. The cubo-octahedra and cubes are bonded together through the carbon atoms,

Table 2. Interatomic distances

| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ | (12) | $2 \cdot 558$ (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})$ | (4) | $2 \cdot 415$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ | (12) | $2 \cdot 923$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ | (1) | 2.414 (9) |
|  | (4) | 2.558 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{I})$ | (1) | 2.558 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ | (2) | 2.923 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})$ | (4) | $2 \cdot 637$ (7) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{C}$ | (2) | $2 \cdot 136$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ | (1) | 2.415 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})$ | (3) | 2.536 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ | (6) | 2.637 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{IV})-\mathrm{C}$ | (3) | $2 \cdot 110$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ | (4) | $2 \cdot 136$ (5) |
| C-Cr(IV) | (4) | $2 \cdot 110$ (6) |

along the $\langle 100\rangle$ directions, with a $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}$ distance of about $2 \cdot 12 \AA$. The interatomic distances are listed in Table 2. The Cr coordination about the carbon atom is an 8 -fold square anti-prism, with the carbon atom displaced slightly from the center.

The compounds which form in this structure type have been reviewed recently (Stadelmaier, 1969). They include $\mathrm{Cr}_{23} \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{Mn}_{23} \mathrm{C}_{6}$, and many ternary carbides and borides.
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For estriol, $\varphi_{200}=\mathbf{0}$. By Herbert Hauptman, Medical Foundation of Buffalo, 73 High Street, Buffalo, New York 14203, U.S.A.
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It is shown that the value of the important phase $\varphi_{200}$ for estriol is zero.

The estriol structure $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}, P 2_{1}, Z=4\right)$ was the first to be solved via the least-squares analysis of the cosine invariants (Hauptman, Fisher, Hancock \& Norton, 1969). The phase $\varphi_{200}=0$ was one of five in the basic set but its value was not unambiguously determined by means of $\sum_{1}$. Nevertheless its value was well determined by 'an argument which the reader is challenged to supply and which depends on the facts that $\left|E_{200}\right|=5 \cdot 40,\left|E_{100}\right|=0 \cdot 00$, and that there are two molecules in the asymmetric unit'. Since the appearance of this challenge no one has responded to it, but some have questioned the existence of such an argument (e.g. Woolfson, 1971, p. 428). It therefore seems appropriate to present this brief analysis, especially since it may well have more general applicability.

First, the fact that the low angle $\left|F_{100}\right|^{2}$ was measured to be zero (not merely small) must surely have a fundamental structural significance. Next, ignoring the negligible contribution of the hydrogen atoms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{200}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{84}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{42} \cos 4 \pi x_{\mu} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $x_{\mu}$ are the $x$ coordinates of the 42 independent nonhydrogen atoms. Only if either

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mu}=0 \text { or } \frac{1}{2}, \mu=1, \ldots, 42 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mu}= \pm \frac{1}{4}, \mu=1, \ldots, 42 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

would $\left|E_{200}\right|$ attain the maximum possible value of $84^{1 / 2} \simeq$ $9 \cdot 17$. However $\left|E_{200}\right|$ is in fact equal to $5 \cdot 40$, large enough to imply that either (2) holds or (3) holds, approximately. Since there are two independent molecules, each approximately planar, in the asymmetric unit, it readily follows that either

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{\mu}=\varepsilon_{\mu}, \mu=1, \ldots, 21 ; \text { molecule I, } \\
x_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_{\mu}, \mu=22, \ldots, 42 ; \text { molecule II, } \tag{4}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

or

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{\mu}=\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon_{\mu}, \mu=1, \ldots, 21 ; \text { molecule I, }  \tag{5}\\
x_{\mu}=-\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon_{\mu}, \mu=22, \ldots, 42 ; \text { molecule II, }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the magnitudes of most of the $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ are small.
Next,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{100}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{84}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{42} \cos 2 \pi x_{\mu} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, according as (4) or (5) holds, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{100} \simeq \frac{2}{\sqrt{84}}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{21}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon_{\mu}{ }^{2}}{2}\right)-\sum_{\mu=22}^{42}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon_{\mu}{ }^{2}}{2}\right)\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{100} \simeq-\frac{2}{\sqrt{84}}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{21} \varepsilon_{\mu}-\sum_{\mu=22}^{42} \varepsilon_{\mu}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. either

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{100} \simeq-\frac{1}{\sqrt{84}}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{21} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{2}-\sum_{\mu=22}^{42} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{2}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{100} \simeq \frac{2}{\sqrt{8} \overline{4}}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{21} \varepsilon_{\mu}-\sum_{\mu=22}^{42} \varepsilon_{\mu}\right\} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the fact that the magnitudes of the $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ are small, inspection of (9) and (10) clearly shows that it is (9), rather than (10), which is consistent with the observed value of zero for $\left|E_{100}\right|$. It follows that (4), not (5), holds so that (1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{200}>0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{200}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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